

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,290	06/14/2005	Thomas L. Haschen	4845-0101PUS2	3643	
2292 7590 07/12/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER		
			MAHAFKEY, KELLY J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART'UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/12/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,290	HASCHEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kelly Mahafkey	1761	

. "	Kelly Mahafkey	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this-application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		100/-1 1 11 1	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	nut using to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by	2221122
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>	•		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		50.01.7,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	1	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Alarahi Gladianan dari	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:		•	÷
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 to 6 4b - d-4 6 60 10	ation of Ammont will ma	-4 h.a. awaawa w
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🔲 Other:			

Cont. 3: The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration and thus will not be entered. For example, the limitations of claim 98 were previously directed to a "dryer temperature" and the proposed amendments are directed to the temperature of the "by-product nutrient source mixture."

Cont. 11: Applicant's comments filed June 29, 2007, regarding the 112 rejections, have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant arguments are based on amendments which have not been entered (See #3 above). Specifically regarding applicant's argument that the term "an empirical relationship" is definite, applicant is referred to the previous office action and reminded that the phrase "an empirical relationship" does not clearly relate to the specific empirical relationship taught in applicant's specification or recited in applicant's claims.

Applicant's comments filed June 29, 2007, regarding the 103(a) rejections, have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant's comments are based on proposed amendments that have not been entered (see above) and on arguments, which have been addressed in the office action mailed April 5, 2006.

Thus the rejections are maintained for the reasons of record, as set forth in the Final Office action.

STEVE WEINSTEIN 1761 PRIMARY EXAMINER

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/530,290	HASCHEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kelly Mahafkey	1761

	Nelly Wallarkey   1701
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
requ	amendment document filed on <u>29 June 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the irrements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following (s) is required.
THE	FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other
	<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:         <ul> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>E. Other:</li> </ul>
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): See Continuation Sheet.
For	further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIMI	E PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
1	Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the <b>entire corrected amendment</b> must be resubmitted.
1	Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

**Abandonment** of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

**Non-entry** of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

Telephone No.

Cont. 5: Applicant's proposed amendments have failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. Applicant's proposed amendments are not properly marked. For example, the word "dryer" has been deleted from claim 98, but is not recited in claim 98; a deleted word is to be designated with a crossed through line. Additionally, claims 103 and 105, contain underline words that were previously presented and are not new (See Amendments made March 14, 2007); only newly aded words are to be designated by an underline.

STEVE WEINSTEIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER 176/

7/6/07